

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CRIMINAL NO.  
04-10148-RCL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

SHAWN SANDLER

**INTERIM STATUS REPORT**

June 23, 2005

DEIN, M.J.

An Interim Status Conference was held before this court on Thursday, June 23, 2005, pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 116.5(A). Based on that conference, this court enters the following report and orders, to wit:

1. The government has arranged to have reports from the Chelsea Police Department generated. It is hereby ordered that these reports must be produced by July 1, 2005.
2. The defendant requests discovery of expert witness testimony in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E). The government shall produce such discovery forty-five (45) days before trial. The defendant shall produce reciprocal expert discovery fourteen (14) days before trial.
3. The defendant has filed a motion to suppress which is scheduled for argument before the District Judge.
4. In this court's view, this is not a case involving unusual or complex issues for which an early joint conference of the district judge and the magistrate judge with counsel of record would be useful.
5. In this court's view, this is not a case involving features which would warrant special attention or modification of the standard schedule, except as provided herein.

6. At present, the parties anticipate a trial and estimate it will last approximately 5-7 days.
7. This court finds and concludes, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8) and Section 6(b)(8) of the Plan for Prompt Disposition of Criminal Cases in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Statement of Time Limits Adopted by the Court and Procedures for Implementing Them, Effective July 1, 1980), that the interests of justice, i.e., review of the case, review of evidence, and consideration of alternatives concerning how best to proceed with this matter, outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant for a trial within seventy days of the return of an indictment.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8) and Section 6(b)(8) of the Plan for Prompt Disposition of Criminal Cases in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Statement of Time Limits Adopted by the Court and Procedures for Implementing Them, Effective July 1, 1980), the Clerk of this Court enter excludable time for the period of June 23, 2005 and July 1, 2005 that being the period between the Interim Status Conference and Final Status Conference.<sup>1</sup>

8. Based upon the prior orders of the court dated May 21, 2004, July 1, 2004, August 24, 2004, October 13, 2004, October 28, 2004, December 21, 2004, February 16, 2005, March 23, 2005, April 27, 2005, May 4, 2005, May 18, 2005, May 26, 2005 and the order entered contemporaneously herewith, at the time of the Final Status Conference on July 1, 2005 there will be thirteen (13) days of non-excludable time under the Speedy Trial Act (June 18 - June 30, 2004) and fifty-seven (57) days will remain under the Speedy Trial Act in which this case must be tried.

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are hereby advised that under the provisions of Rule 2(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party may move for reconsideration by a district judge of the determination(s) and order(s) set forth herein within ten (10) days after receipt of a copy of this order, unless a different time is prescribed by this court or the district judge. The party seeking reconsideration shall file with the Clerk of this Court, and serve upon all parties, a written notice of the motion which shall specifically designate the order or part thereof to be reconsidered and the basis for the objection thereto. The district judge, upon timely motion, shall reconsider the magistrate's order and set aside any portion thereof found to be clearly erroneous in fact or contrary to law. The parties are further advised that the United States Court of Appeals for this Circuit has indicated that failure to comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review. See Keating v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 848 F.2d 271 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. March 31, 1988); United States v. Emiliano Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986); Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 616 F.2d 603 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1980); United States v. Vega, 678 F.2d 376, 378-379 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1982); Scott v. Schweiker, 702 F.2d 13, 14 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1983); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466 (1985).

9. **A Final Status Conference has been scheduled for July 1, 2005 at 10:00 a.m. Counsel for the respective parties shall file a Joint Memorandum addressing the matters set forth in LR 116.5(C)(1) through (9) before the close of business no less than THREE business days prior to that Status Conference. In addition, the parties shall include in the Joint Memorandum not only the periods of excludable time that are applicable, but also the amount of time remaining under the Speedy Trial Act before trial must commence, as well as the total amount of time which has been excluded.**

/ s / Judith Gail Dein  
JUDITH GAIL DEIN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE